OREGON GOVERNMENT ETHICS COMMISSION INVESTIGATION

CASE NO:

18-148XMT

DATE:

January 16, 2019

RESPONDENT:

WAHLKE, Susan, Councilor, Lincoln City

COMPLAINANT:

WERNER, Justin

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Make a Preliminary Finding of 1 Violation of

ORS 192.660

SYNOPSIS: Susan Wahlke was a City Councilor for the City of Lincoln City and participated in an executive session relevant to this investigation. The focus of this investigation was to determine if there was a preponderance of evidence to indicate that a representative of the news media was impermissibly excluded from attendance at the executive session, in violation of ORS 192.660(4).

6

7

8

9

11

13

1

2

3

4

5

A review of information indicates that on 6/4/18, a representative of an online publication, lincolncityhomepage.com, was excluded from attending the City Council's executive

session. The City has a policy containing criteria and timelines for an entity to be

recognized as "media" by the members of the City Council. The City determined that the

individual in this case did not formally apply in advance to attend the executive session,

although he was known in advance to several City staff members who corresponded

regularly with him as a representative of the publication. It appears that he and his

publication were also known to several members of the governing body.

14 15

16

ORS 192.660 does not define "news media", but the Attorney General issued an opinion

- in answer to questions from the Commission concerning its enforcement responsibilities
- 2 under the statute. The Commission must follow the AG's Opinion in enforcing ORS
- 3 192.660(4). According to the AG Opinion, a public body is allowed to have a media policy,
- 4 but if enforcement of that policy's definitions or requirement of advance notice of
- 5 attendance would result in the exclusion of a representative of the news media from an
- 6 executive session, then the exclusion is a violation of ORS 192.660.

- 8 There is sufficient evidence to indicate that Susan Wahlke participated as a City Councilor
- 9 when a representative of the news media was excluded from attendance at an executive
- session in violation of ORS 192.660(4).

11

- 12 **RELEVANT STATUTES**: The following Oregon Revised Statutes are applicable to the
- issues addressed herein:

14

- 15 **192.610 Definitions for ORS 192.610 to 192.690**. As used in ORS 192.610 to 192.690;
- 16 (1) "Decision" means any determination, action, vote or final disposition upon a motion,
- 17 proposal, resolution, order, ordinance or measure on which a vote of a governing body is
- required, at any meeting at which a quorum is present.
- 19 (2) "Executive session" means any meeting or part of a meeting of a governing body
- 20 which is closed to certain persons for deliberation on certain matters. ***
- 21 (5) "Meeting" means the convening of a governing body of a public body for which a
- 22 quorum is required in order to make a decision or deliberate toward a decision on any
- 23 matter.***

- 24 192.660 Executive sessions permitted on certain matters; procedures; news media
- representatives' attendance; limits. (1) ORS 192.610 to 192.690 do not prevent the
- 26 governing body of a public body from holding executive session during a regular, special
- or emergency meeting, after the presiding officer has identified the authorization under
- ORS 192.610 to 192.690 for holding the executive session. ***
- 29 (2) The governing body of a public body may hold an executive session: ***
 - (d) To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to

- 1 carry on labor negotiations. (e) To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to 2 3 negotiate real property transactions. *** 4 (h) To consult with counsel concerning the legal rights and duties of a public body 5 with regard to current litigation or litigation likely to be filed. *** 6 (4) Representatives of the news media shall be allowed to attend executive sessions other than those held under subsection (2)(d) of this section relating to labor negotiations 7 8 or executive session held pursuant to ORS 332.061(2) but the governing body may 9 require that specified information be undisclosed. (5) When a governing body convenes an executive session under subsection (2)(h) of 10 11 this section relating to conferring with legal counsel on current litigation or litigation likely 12 to be filed, the governing body shall bar any member of the news media from attending the executive session if the member of the news media is a party to the litigation or is an 13 14 employee, agent or contractor of a news media organization that is a party to the litigation. 15 (6) No executive session may be held for the purpose of taking any final action or making any final decision. *** 16 (10) Notwithstanding ORS 244.290, the Oregon Government Ethics Commission may not 17 adopt rules that establish what entities are considered representatives of the news media 18 19 that are entitled to attend executive sessions under subsection (4) of this section. 20 192.685 Additional enforcement of alleged violations of ORS 192.660. (1) 21 Notwithstanding ORS 192.680, complaints of violations of ORS 192.660 alleged to have 22 been committed by public officials may be made to the Oregon Government Ethics 23 24 Commission for review and investigation as provided by ORS 244.260 and for possible 25 imposition of civil penalties as provided by ORS 244.350. 26 27 244.350 Civil penalties; letter of reprimand or explanation. (1) The Oregon 28 Government Ethics Commission may impose civil penalties not to exceed: ***
 - (2)(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection, the commission may

impose civil penalties not to exceed \$1,000 for violation of any provision of ORS 192.660.

(2)(b) A civil penalty may not be imposed under this subsection if the violation occurred as a result of the governing body of the public body acting upon the advice of the public body's counsel.

INVESTIGATION: The Oregon Government Ethics Commission (Commission) initiated a preliminary review based on information in a signed written complaint from Justin Werner on 6/6/18 (#PR1). The complainant alleged that Susan Wahlke, a member of the Lincoln City Council (Council), along with other Council members, may have violated executive session provisions of Oregon Public Meetings law when participating in an executive session on 6/4/18. The Commission found cause to investigate on 8/10/18 after considering the information developed in the preliminary review. The focus of the investigation was to determine if there is sufficient evidence to indicate that Susan Wahlke participated in the 6/4/18 executive session from which a representative of the news media was excluded in violation of ORS 192.660(4). Respondent and complainant have been notified of the Commission actions in this matter. Both have been invited to provide any information that would assist the Commission in conducting this investigation.

COMPLAINANT ALLEGATIONS

The complainant attended the Council's 6/4/18 public work session, which was followed by an executive session. The complainant alleges that the City Recorder, Cathy Steere, informed him that he could not sit in on the subsequent executive session because he did not apply beforehand. According to the complainant, he asked Ms. Steere if the <u>Newport News Times</u> or <u>The News Guard</u> had applied and was told by Ms. Steere that "they were considered institutional news media before the statute went into effect." The complainant alleges that Ms. Steere told him that he did not meet the criteria for institutional news/media. The complainant states,

"Don Williams, Mayor of Lincoln City,*** said we can just let Justin sit in as long as he's not disruptive. Then four council members, Dick Anderson, Susan Wahlke,

Sus
said
have
med
in at
ther

Susan Wahlke and Diana Hinton ***voiced loudly 'No!' That's when Dick Anderson said 'Or we don't have a meeting.' Then the city manager, Ron Chandler, said 'We have informed Mr. Werner that he does not meet the criteria to be considered news media *** Mr. Werner you have to leave.' ***[Councilor] Riley Hoagland***was also in attendance but he didn't say anything. The city attorney, Richard Appicello, was there and should have advised his clients that they can't vote or discuss the issue in this meeting.***"(#PR1)

The complainant states that he showed everyone who was present the Oregon Attorney General opinion (AG Opinion), #8291. The AG Opinion, published on 4/18/16, specifically answers the questions asked by the Commission concerning the Public Meetings Law requirement that representatives of the news media be allowed to attend executive session meetings. (#PR2)

After the initial complaint, during the preliminary review period, Mr. Werner supplied the following information via email on 6/20/18: (#PR3)

"***[T]his incident occurred after the regular meeting. I walked with the Mayor, Don Williams, down the hall and entered the conference room that holds the executive session. Williams told me, 'media sits in those chairs.' I sat down next to Jeremy Ruark, the News Guard Editor. The City Recorder, Cathy Steere said, "You can't sit in on this session because you didn't apply first.' I showed her the 2016 Attorney Genreal's opinion and said I should be allowed to sit in. She said 'You do not meet the criteria for news media.' Again I showed her the AG's opinion and tried to explain why I qualified. I told her I had staff***and gather news and give it to the public via website, print and Facebook.

She sat down and Williams said, 'I don't have a problem with Justin being here as long as he's not disruptive, we can get this meeting started.' That's when the council said 'No!' in unison. Dick Anderson said, 'Or...we don't have a meeting.' Then City Manager Ron Chandler said, '***[W]e have informed Mr. Werner he does

not meet the criteria for news media. Mr. Werner you have to leave.' I asked what 1 would happen if I stayed anyway. Chandler said, 'Then we will have the police 2 3 remove you from the building.' 4 5 So I left. On my way out I left the conclusion from the AG on the table and told them I thought it was wrong and illegal and why punish us for doing a good job?" 6 7 8 COMPLAINANT INFORMATION 9 The complainant is with LincolncityHomepage.com, a web based publication, which has advertisers, and covers local news in the Lincoln City area including sports, public interest 10 11 stories, local government, and Letters to the Editor. The complainant states. 12 "I clearly do meet the criteria as I have staff, publish regularly, cover the city council 13 meetings, high school events/sports, city events. ... I told them they all know that 14 I am news/media and provide better coverage than any other news source in 15 Lincoln City and couldn't understand why they didn't want me to attend given the 16 17 depth of coverage our news organization provided." (#PR1) 18 19 In a 6/20/18 email to Commission staff, the complainant states the following: "lincolncityhomepage.com has been around since 2015. We cover Taft High 7-12 20 21 sports, student council, feature articles on the students and everything else. We cover the city council in depth with highlights and links to the YouTube page that 22 we created. ***We cover the Visitors Convention Bureau and all that entails. ***We 23 24 cover Lincoln City, Depoe Bay and Otis. 25 We hired Jim Fossum as a Senior Reporter and Sports Editor. He covers the 26 NFL's Raiders and all Taft High Sports. Steve Walker from the Oregon School 27 28 Activities Association issued me a press pass for 2017-18 and added our feed to their "Media" section on OSAA.ORG. 29 30 Advertisers come and go but most stay. During the election we had Thomas 31

Branford for Judge, Russel[I] Baldwin for Judge, Kaety Jacobson for County Commission and Lincoln County Sheriff's Office. Robben Heating has been with us for close to a year. Lil Sambos has been with us since the first day we went live. Gerber Tire has been with us for a couple months. I just landed a year-long contract with Samaritan Health Services from Corvallis to advertise the Samaritan North Lincoln Hospital. Game Over Arcade has been with us since we started also. We have been running a free ad for a local charity shop ***because they have a great cause. Subway just signed up with us ***."(#PR3)

Records obtained from the Oregon Secretary of State's Business Registry shows that Lincoln City Homepage is currently registered as an assumed business name in the state of Oregon. The filing occurred on 6/20/18, the business activity shows as "reports local Lincoln City news," and the owner and agent is Justin Werner. (#INV4)

During the investigation, Commission staff requested that Mr. Werner provide evidence of articles published through lincolncityhomepage concerning the Lincoln City Council prior to filing this complaint. He provided links to 29 articles published between 2015 and June of 2018, revealing that his coverage of the Lincoln City Council rose over that period: In 2015 – 1 article, 2016 – 2 articles, 2017- 8 articles, and during the first 6 months of 2018 – 18 articles. (#INV5)

The first article published in 2015 concerned allegations of criminal official misconduct lodged by Richard Appicello, the city attorney, against Mayor Don Williams and the article linked to the Department of Justice records that had been released concerning those allegations. A 5/18/18 article published by Mr. Werner was entitled "Dick Anderson Running for Mayor of Lincoln City". This article describes Mr. Anderson's prior positions with the City, his other interests such as golfing, and his future plans if he gets elected. (#INV5)

On 12/11/17 the City Council held a public meeting. Video of the public meeting shows that, during the public comment period, Justin Werner addressed the City Councilors,

after identifying himself as "Justin Werner with lincolncityhomepage.com, a local news outlet", and spoke of safety concerns at a recent event on City property, noting that they did not have a permit. The City Manager stated that he had spoken with Mr. Werner and asked him "to send me an email with his questions because I was not sure if he was making a statement or asking as a reporter for his blog, and I haven't heard from him, so I am just waiting." The written meeting minutes identify him as "Justin Werner, Lincoln

7 City Homepage". (#INV3)

On 1/22/18 the City Council held an executive session at 3pm and a public meeting at 6pm. Video of the public meeting shows that, during the public comment period, Justin Werner addressed the City Councilors and read a list of questions concerning a recently lodged complaint against the City Attorney, filed by a City employee. He identified himself as "Justin Werner, senior reporter with the local news outlet, LincolnCityHomepage.com". The written minutes for that 1/22/18 meeting also identify Justin Werner as "Senior Reporter for Lincoln City Homepage.com". Aside from Councilor Riley Hoagland, all of the current City Councilors were in attendance at these two meetings. (#INV3)

LINCOLN CITY'S EXECUTIVE SESSION NEWS MEDIA ATTENDANCE POLICY

On 3/8/10, the Council adopted Resolution 2010-09 entitled: A Resolution of the City of Lincoln City Adopting Executive Session News Media Attendance Policy, which is excerpted below:

Whereas, because at the time state law relating to media attendance at executive session was adopted "news media" consisted of entities that were institutionalized and structured to support compliance with the requirements of ORS 192.660(4), and the law includes no express mechanism for enforcing those requirements; and

Whereas technological advances since the time the public meetings law was initially adopted have resulted in development of communication mechanisms allowing virtually any individual or entity to disseminate information widely; and

Whereas the City of Lincoln City finds that in that absence of a statutory definition of "news media" as that term is used in ORS 192.660(4) it is necessary to adopt a policy that implements the intent of the public meetings law relating to executive session attendance without precluding attendance by Internet-based or other "non-traditional" information disseminators that are institutionalized and committed to compliance with ORS 192.660(4); *****

1. <u>Currently Recognized News Media Organizations.</u>

The following entities are hereby recognized as news media organizations eligible to attend executive sessions because they have an established history of meeting the requirements of this policy: [The News Guard, News Times] No other entity shall be permitted to attend an executive session unless it is recognized through the process described in Section 2 below.

2. Recognition of Other News Media Organizations.

- a. The following entities are recognized as news media eligible to attend executive sessions:
 - (1) A general or associate member newspaper of the Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association, a broadcast member of the Oregon Association of Broadcasters or a member of the Associated Press; or
 - (2) A newspaper that the City of Lincoln City uses for publication of public notices and that meets the requirements of ORS 193.020; or
 - (3) An entity recognized by the City Council as being a news source that:

A. is organized and operated to regularly and continuously publish, broadcast, transmit via the Internet or otherwise disseminate news to the public, and that regularly reports on activities of the City of Lincoln City or matters of the nature under consideration by the City of Lincoln City; and

B. is determined by the City Council to be a business entity that is institutionalized [defined in footnote as "long-established or well-established"] and that is committed to, and is structured to support.

the terms of ORS 192.660(4). In making this determination, the City Council may consider and weigh any factors that it deems to be relevant, including, without limitation, the existence of any of the following factors:

- i. the entity has multiple personnel with defined roles within its organizational structure;
- ii. the names of news-reporting personnel, and responsible entity management personnel, together with addresses and contact telephone numbers, are readily available;
- iii. the entity has an available process for correcting errors, including violations of executive session statutes, by a person with authority to take corrective measures.

b. It shall be the entity's burden to persuade the City Council by substantial evidence that it should be recognized as a news media organization meeting the criteria in Section (2)(a) of this policy. Such evidence must be submitted at least thirty (30) days in advance of the first executive session that the entity desires to attend. The City Council shall make a determination within twenty-one (21) days of receiving the evidence submitted by the entity. The City Council may elect to forgo this procedure in cases where the City Council, in its sole discretion, determines that it can immediately recognize that an entity qualifies under this policy, or in cases where the City Council, in its sole discretion, determines that other good cause exists for making an expedited determination. A determination that the entity is not recognized shall be based upon written findings addressing the criteria in Section 2(a).

Section 3 of the policy states that if a news media organization is "recognized pursuant to Sections 1 and 2", a representative of such a recognized entity, in order to attend an executive session, must meet further requirements to prove that they are a bona fide representative of that news media organization, such as a press badge, or a recently

published news article with the person's byline, or a letter on letterhead from an editor of 1 2 a recognized news media organization confirming that the reporter is representing that organization, plus personal identification. Finally, the City may require that a 3 4 "request to attend an executive session be made in writing on a form provided by 5 the City. The form shall require disclosure of the person's name and the entity for 6 which he or she is a news reporter, and shall require submission of 7 evidence***described [above]. The form shall also include a signature line 8 whereby the person certifies that he or she is gathering news for a recognized 9 news media organization, that the information given is true, and that he or she 10 agrees to comply with ORS 192.660(4)." (#PR4) 11 12 ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINION 8291, issued April 18, 2016 13 14 The Oregon Government Ethics Commission requested and received an opinion from the 15 Oregon Attorney General as to the provisions in ORS 192.660 regarding the attendance of representatives of the news media at a governing body's executive session meetings. 16 Below are excerpts relevant to the issues in this matter: 17 18 19 This opinion addresses several issues pertaining to the commission's duty to investigate and enforce the requirement that representatives of the news media be 20 allowed to attend executive sessions. *****[p. 1] 21 22 ***[A] governing body may not lawfully enforce a policy that permits it to exclude 23 from executive session a representative of the news media who would be 24 permitted to attend under ORS 192.660(4) and (5).***** [p. 2] 25 26 "Institutionalized news media" means an entity that is formally organized for the 27 purpose of gathering and disseminating news.***** [p. 3] 28

QUESTION 4

When evaluating alleged violations of ORS 192.660(4), what is the significance of

WAHLKE INVESTIGATION - Page 11

29

30

1	policies adopted by governing bodies to implement the statutes? Specifically, what			
2	would be the legal significance of a policy to exclude a person who:			
3				
4	1. Does not meet adopted screening criteria to determine who qualifies as			
5	a "representative of the news media";			
6	2. Does not gain preapproval of media credentials in advance of executive			
7	sessions;			
8	3. Fails to give advance notice of attendance; or,			
9	4. Is believed to have unlawfully reported on a prior executive session or to			
10	represent a news media organization that did? [p. 3-4]			
11				
12	SHORT ANSWER			
13	Public bodies are required to comply with the statute. They cannot modify the			
14	statutory requirement by adopting a policy. In evaluating allegations that an			
15	individual was wrongly excluded from executive session, the commission must			
16	assess compliance with that statute regardless of a governing body's policies.			
17				
18	With respect to the specific types of policies the commission asks about (numbered			
19	1 through 4 above) we answer as follows:			
20	1. If enforcement of the policy definition results in the exclusion of a representative			
21	of the news media, that exclusion would violate ORS 192.660(4).			
22	2. To the extent that a credentialing requirement simply requires an individual to			
23	demonstrate that he or she is a representative of the news media, its enforcement			
24	would be consistent with the law. But if a policy requires specific credentials, and			
25	an individual offering different credentials that are sufficient to demonstrate that he			
26	or she is a representative of the news media is excluded based on that policy, that			
27	exclusion would not be consistent with ORS 192.660(4).			
28	3. Advance notice of attendance is not required by Oregon Public Meetings Law.			
29	Excluding a representative of the news media for failure to comply with a policy			
30	requiring advance notice of attendance would violate ORS 192.660(4).			
31	4. The Oregon Public Meetings Law does not authorize any mechanism for			

1	enforcing the requirement that representatives of the news media refrain from			
2	reporting designated information discussed in executive session. Enforcement of			
3	a policy excluding representatives of the news media on this basis would violate			
4	ORS 192.660(4). [p. 4]			
5				
6	LINCOLN CITY RECORDS IN RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA			
7	The records provided by Lincoln City in response to the subpoena include			
8	correspondence between Justin Werner of Lincolncityhomepage and employees of			
9	Lincoln City during the period between 1/1/18 and 6/6/18.			
10				
11	City employees corresponded with Justin Werner frequently during the first 5 months of			
12	2018. Justin Werner's publication received numerous press releases of City events and			
13	other information from individuals with City email addresses. These correspondents			
14	included the City Manager's office, the Public Library staff, the City Community Center			
15	staff, the HR Department, the Planning Department, the IT Department, and the City			
16	Visitor and Convention Bureau. (#INV1)			
17				
18	During 2018, Mr. Werner also received several emails from administrators about			
19	upcoming meetings of the City Council and the Planning Commission. Mr. Werner was			
20	also noticed by City staff when a meeting was cancelled due to lack of a quorum, or when			
21	late additions were made to the agenda. It appears that the meeting notices were sent			
22	and agendas were usually available about 4 days prior to the meeting. (#INV1)			
23				
24	Set out below are some exchanges pertinent to this case. (Sender/recipient Justin			
25	Werner or Lincolncityhomepage are referred to as JW below.)			
26				
27	1/5/18 from JW to City Attorney asking for comment on an article which was to be			
28	be published concerning a complaint against the City Attorney.			
29				
30	1/5/18 and forwarded on 1/12/18 from JW to City Recorder asking to be added to the City			
31	lists to receive all meeting notices, press releases, and announcements.			

1/24/18 from JW to City Recorder and cc'd to Mayor and City Manager.

"On January 5, 2018, I sent you a request to add me to your list of media representatives. Unfortunately, my records indicate I didn't receive a reply.***
Perhaps the problem is on my end, so let me supersede my Jan. 5th request with the following request: I am a media representative, and an "interested person" and respectfully request the City of Lincoln City, its employees,***send me all communications regarding meetings, and all other communications provided to other media representatives and/or "interested persons***" Included in this email was the desired email address for these notifications and his telephone number.

1/30/18 - Email above was forwarded by the City Recorder to the City Attorney.

1/31/18 –from City Recorder to JW (cc'd Mayor, City Attorney, City Manager):

"[P]lease be advised that we included you in the media group at your first request as an 'interested person'.***You might want to check your trash or junk mail to see if it was sent there. As you have indicated in the second request, we have again changed the contact information to the gmail address provided as you requested. Please note, however, that most of the City's notices and information for the public is posted on our website***[w]e don't send out notices as to when the information is uploaded to the website."

4/26/18 –from JW to City Recorder and others:

"Is it possible to add Lincoln City Homepage to the list here [http://www.lincolncity.org/community links]?"

4/26/18 - from City Recorder to JW:

"Thank you for your email. During the past few weeks the City staff has embarked on a review of our media, social media and web page policy.***After receiving your email, our City Manager looked at the links page and found that some "for profit" links were incorrectly added. He therefore removed this page from our webpage while we complete the policy review and correct any links that were incorrectly

1	added.***"
2	
3	4/27/18 –From JW to City Recorder:
4	"I would like to attend Executive sessions. How would I go about doing that
5	exactly? I understand I can't write about what I hear in the sessions."
6	
7	4/30/18 – email above forwarded by City Recorder to City Attorney.
8	
9	4/30/18 –From JW to City Recorder:
10	"I'm curious as to when the Community Links page will be back live on the city
11	website and if your [sic] going to add lincolncityhomepage.com to it.***The only
12	reason I asked to be put on the list is because I saw The News Guard, Newport
13	News Times, and newslincolncounty.com on that page." (NOTE: As of 1/11/19 the
14	Community Links tab on the City webpage is still down due to policy review.)
15	
16	(Subsequent pertinent emails are described below under the TIMELINE heading.)
17	
18	In response to the Commission's request for a copy of the statutory authority for "work
19	sessions" as distinguished from "public meetings," the City responded that they do not
20	have any "any documents (i.e. statutes) responsive to your request."
21	
22	A 10/17/18 letter from the City Attorney, Richard Appicello, which was delivered along
23	with the City Recorder's response to the subpoena, is excerpted below:
24	
25	"Work Session designation is part of the subject of the noticed public meeting. A
26	meeting designated as a work session on a specific subject indicates that the City
27	Council will not take any action at this noticed public meeting but instead will
28	discuss the matter informally.***The work session on June 4, 2018 was to
29	discuss/ask questions about items on the City Attorney's project list. The fact that
30	no action can be taken at such noticed public work session meetings is reflected
31	in the Order of Business in the Lincoln City Municipal Code***" (#INV1)

- 1 2.04.020 Order of Business.
- 2 "In the conduct of the business of the council, the order of business shall be substantially
- as follows, except as otherwise provided in LCMC 2.04.027 for time certain agenda items:
- 4 A. Roll Call;
- 5 B. Pledge of Allegiance;***
- 6 L. Actions, if any, based on work session, executive session or citizen comment;***

8 NOTE: On 2/12/18, LCMC 2.04.020 was amended by Ordinance No. 2018-04 to add the

9 portion underlined above. (#INV6)

10 11

SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT AND FOUR OTHER COUNCILORS

- 12 The respondent, along with four other members of the governing body, is represented by
- attorney Andrea D. Coit of the law firm, Hutchinson Cox. The sixth member of the
- 14 governing body, Mayor Don Williams, is represented by a different attorney.

15 16

17

On 6/15/2018 the Commission received a written response from Ms. Coit, the entirety of

which was provided to the Commission with the preliminary review report, and will be

provided again with this investigation report.

18 19

20

21

22

Ms. Coit concedes that any of the guidance provided by the AG opinion that was in conflict

with the previously adopted Lincoln City Resolution would control. Based on the

information contained in the complaint, along with the AG opinion, Ms. Coit states,

23

24

25

26

27

28

"Lincoln City is permitted to create and enforce a screening process for the

purpose of determining whether a specific entity qualified as institutional media

entity. Per ORS 192.660(10), Lincoln City is also permitted, within the bounds of

the Opinion's guidance, to establish the criteria for evaluating what entities qualify

as media for purposes of attending executive session." (#PR4)

29 30

31

Ms. Coit asserts that the Resolution's requirement that an applicant submit evidence

relating to his or her status as a member of the news media prior to being approved to

attend an executive session is permissible under the circumstances. Ms. Coit states that the complainant was notified on 5/10/2018 that he would need to submit an application for review by the City Council at its next regularly scheduled City Council meeting on 6/11/2018, and this application would need to be submitted by 6/4/2018.

Ms. Coit's letter continues:

"To comply with both ORS 192.610 and Lincoln City's Resolution, the City Council was required to consider Mr. Werner's application for media recognition at a properly noticed public meeting. The City Council had convened a work session prior to going into executive session on June 4. It had not been in a public session." (#PR4)

During the investigation phase, Ms. Coit supplied a letter dated 1/3/19 in response to questions asked by Commission staff, which will be provided to the Commissioners in its entirety, and is excerpted below:

I would just like to clarify that at no time during the interlude between the end of the public meeting work session and the commencement of the executive session did any of my clients participate in a "conversation as to whether Mr. Werner would be able to attend the executive session as a representative of the media."***

On June 4, 2018, the Councilors participated in the work session City Council Meeting. As a public meeting, it was attended by various members of the public. None of my clients took any particular note of Mr. Werner's presence. He did not speak at the meeting or otherwise do anything to indicate that he was at the meeting as a member of the media.

When the work session concluded, the Councilors walked to the executive session room. Some of my clients noticed Mayor Williams walking with a young man. None of them recognized Werner by sight. None of them spoke with Werner,

In response to the Commission staff's question: You said a few times [in oral presentation to the Commission] that three of your clients did not know who Mr. Werner was and that two of your clients did know him. Could you identify the 2 who did know Mr. Werner and the 3 who did not? Does this mean that the three who did not know Mr. Werner were unfamiliar with him personally (i.e. his name and face meant nothing to them) or were unfamiliar with his publication, so that identifying himself as the editor/writer of LincolnCityHomePage would have had no meaning whatsoever to the 3?, Ms. Coit replied:

Councilor Anderson knew Mr. Werner as the writer of the blog called LincolnCityHomePage. Councilor Hinton had heard of Mr. Werner's blog, but had never met him and did not recognize him on June 4, 2018. ***

On this particular issue, though, I would like to submit my opinion that any individual Councilor's knowledge that Mr. Werner wrote a blog should be of no relevance to the complaint raised here. On June 4, 2018, Mr. Werner had never previously been recognized by the Lincoln City Council as a member of institutional media such that he could legally be permitted to attend an executive session meeting. Regardless of the process required to obtain that recognition, giving the recognition itself would require a decision by the Council. For example, if the request was made in accordance with the City's written policy requiring the submission of an application or other evidence, a decision on that application would need to be made. Alternatively, if it is argued that perhaps some of the Councilors knew that Mr. Werner wrote a blog and, therefore, the Council should have just accepted his oral statement that he was media, a decision to ignore or override the City's policy on recognizing media and instead just allow him to attend would have been required. Those are final decisions that cannot be made in executive session. That is why the City Attorney advised my clients that they could not act one way or the other on his request. They followed his advice.***

Attorney Coit also provided other material, including an affidavit from Lincoln City's legal counsel, Richard Appicello, a City employee. Appicello's 1/3/19 affidavit is excerpted below. (#INV2 and #INV2a)

A work session meeting is a public meeting***. Work session meetings are informal and no action can be taken – they are intended for discussion only.***

On June 4, 2018, the work session was adjourned and Council members headed down the hall to the executive session meeting room for an advertised stand-alone executive session meeting***.

The City Council did not recess a Regular Council meeting to go into executive session. The work session, which is not a regular meeting, was adjourned. Unlike a recess where the Council could return to the Regular Meeting for action, there was no ability to return to a public meeting for action.

Contrary to Mr. Werner's complaint, Mr. Werner did not attend the executive session; after the work session, he walked down the hall with the Mayor and entered the executive session meeting room and took a seat. He was asked numerous times to leave the meeting room by the City Recorder and City Manager prior to the start of the executive session.

Although Mr. Werner entered the meeting room, Councilors did not engage him.***

Weeks earlier, the City Recorder had sent Mr. Werner the 2016 Attorney General Opinion on media, together with the City's 2010 Media policy***identical to the Model Policy developed in 2009 by a Task Force consisting of representatives of Open Oregon, Lake Oswego City Attorney, League of Oregon Cities, Lake Oswego Mayor, Clackamas County Counsel, the Oregonian, the Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association and the Oregon Association of Broadcasters.

In response to the Recorder and Manager, Mr. Werner began soliciting the Council members directly to recognize him as media***.

Councilors were not engaging with Mr. Werner. Staff engaged him.***Council had adjourned its work session and had not yet opened executive session. Frankly, Council was caught off-guard, most of them did not know who this person was or why he was here in their meeting room blustering at staff; Councilors had not been given any evidence (because none had been submitted) although Council had received the AG opinion on media from me on May 10, 2018.

I specifically advised Council on June 4, 2018 that they could not act on the request being made by Mr. Werner to be recognized at this time. Council cannot legally act in the moments between public meetings or in an executive session.

Contrary to Mr. Werner's statement in his complaint that I said nothing, I stated at least three times that no decision could be made by Council and that such decision needed to be made in open session "down the hall".*****

I specifically stated that "the one thing we know for sure" is that the decision on whether or not Mr. Werner is or is not media, cannot be made now and needed to be made "down the hall" at a regular meeting. The Manager and Recorder made the decision to exclude Werner, not the Council.***

Contrary to my statements and the actions of the Recorder and Manager telling Werner to leave because Council could not act on his request, Mayor Don Williams suddenly and unilaterally declared that Werner could stay if he was not disruptive. This elicited a response of "WHAT!" and "NO!" from some Councilors present. The exclamations***by Councilors were not votes on a motion of whether to admit Werner, but rather exclamations of frustration with the Mayor appearing to attempt to take action***.

In sum, as a factual matter, Mr. Werner was asked to provide evidence to address how he met the standard expressed in the 2016 AG opinion, using the City's 2010 policy as a guide so that Council could take action at a public meeting on his request. He refused to do so. He appeared and solicited Council to make a decision at a time they could not act under public meetings law, and without submission of any evidence. I advised the Council members that they could not act on Werner's solicitation to them to be recognized***and they followed my legal advice. Werner's actions can be viewed as Solicitation of Official Misconduct I. Councilors refused to commit a crime and violate public meetings law for Werner's benefit. The Council did not make a decision to exclude Werner, the Manager and Recorder excluded him. (#INV2a)

NOTE: In 2016, the City was being sued by Mr. Smith, in a lawsuit unrelated to Public Meetings Law. A court filing was made on behalf of the City in that case which argued that the AG's opinion on media supported a finding that plaintiff Smith did not have standing to sue because he was not a member of the news media. "[Smith] is not connected with any institutional news media. He is simply an individual who maintains a personal website where he posts his opinions about local politics and posts articles written by others about local politics." On 5/10/18, Mr. Appicello provided the City Councilors with the AG's Opinion on media, as well as this court filing. (#INV2a)

TIMELINE OF EXECUTIVE SESSION COMMUNICATIONS:

4/27/18 (Friday) 8:13pm Email from Justin Werner to City Recorder, with the subject line "Re: meetings Scheduled for Monday, April 30, 2018" stating, "I would like to attend Executive sessions. How would I go about doing that exactly?***" (#INV1)

4/30/18 (Monday) 11:39am 4/27/18 email above from Justin Werner was forwarded by City Recorder to City Attorney.

5/1/18 (Tuesday) City Attorney sent the full 2016 AG opinion on media attendance at

1	executive sessions to the City Manager and the City Recorder,
2	together with a court filing from the Smith v. Lincoln City case in
3	which the attorney representing Lincoln City argued that the plaintiff
4	was not "media" under the standard in the 2016 AG opinion, but
5	rather an individual blogger. (#INV1 and #INV2a)
6	
7	5/2/18 (Wednesday) 3:46pm Email from City Recorder to Justin Werner, with the
8	subject line: "Re: attending Executive Sessions and City Web Page"
9	stating, "***With regard to your request to attend an Executive
10	Session Meeting, Council cannot make a decision in executive
11	session so if you believe that you qualify as a representative of the
12	news media under Oregon law, you must make application to City
13	Council to be admitted to executive session meetings. The Council's
14	decision will be based on the April 2016 Oregon Attorney General
15	Opinion on the subject." (#INV1)
16	
17	5/3/18 (Thursday) 8:35pm Email from Justin Werner to City Recorder, with the subject
18	line: "Re: attending Executive Sessions and City Web Page", stating,
19	"I'll be attending the next Executive Session Meeting. Thank you for
20	pointing me in the right direction." (#INV1)
21	
22	5/7/18 (Monday) 6:00pm Special Meeting of the City Council held. (#INV3)
23	
24	5/10/18 (Thursday) 3:36pm Email from City Attorney Appicello to the City
25	Councilors (and City Manager) with the subject line "Media AG
26	opinion from 2016 court filing", stating "The attached is something
27	Jens Schmidt filed in the Smith case. It is a Supplemental
28	Memorandum discussing the 2016 Oregon Attorney General's
29	opinion regarding the meaning of "members of the media" for
30	purposes of the Public Meetings Law. There is nothing on this

Agenda regarding this as we anticipated but did not receive any

1	application (or evidence) from an individual who indicated he wished
2	to be recognized as "media" and attend executive sessions. Cathy
3	had informed him Council could take no action in executive session
4	so he would need to bring up the issue so Council could act – prior
5	to showing up. I am sending you the full AG opinion (attached to the
6	motion) in case you wish to read it all. We have a policy as well, but
7	to the extendt [sic] they are inconsistent this AG opinion will control.
8	I will summarize the pertinent points in the opinion for Council prior
9	to the meeting." (#INV1 and #INV2a)
10	

Email from City Recorder to Justin Werner, with the 11 5/10/18 (Thursday) 5:03pm subject line: "Re: Attendance - City Executive Session Meetings", 12 stating, "Justin, with regard to attending an Executive Session 13 meeting, as stated below an application is required in advance for 14 Council's consideration. Council then reviews the application at a 15 regularly scheduled City Council meeting. Attached is Resolution 16 2010-09 (Executive Session News Media Attendance Policy), as a 17 guide for making application. Also attached is the 2016 Attorney 18 General opinion on what constitutes news media that would control 19 over anything inconsistent in the Resolution. If you wish to be 20 considered, you must apply in advance. The next available City 21 Council meeting date for consideration is June 11th. The agenda 22 deadline to receive your completed application (addressing the 23 required criteria to be met as stated in Resolution 2010-09), is noon 24 Monday, June 4, 2018 for the June 11, 2018 City Council 25 meeting.***" (#INV1) 26

27 28

5/14/18 (Monday) 6:00pm City Council Regular Meeting (#INV3) 8:24pm-9:00pm Executive Session

30 31

29

5/28/18 (Monday) 6:00pm City Council Regular Meeting cancelled (#INV3)

6/4/18 (Monday) 6:00pm City Council Work Session 6:45pm Executive Session (#INV3)

A review of the 2018 City Council meetings posted on Lincoln City's website shows that the Council routinely held executive session meetings as "stand alone" meetings prior to their regular council meetings. Also, it appears that the Council held five distinct types of meetings during this period: Regular Meetings, Executive Sessions, Work Sessions, Special Sessions and Training Sessions. The executive session meetings were scheduled at 3pm, 4pm, 4:30pm, or 5pm, preceding the 6pm regular meetings. Only the May 14, 2018 6pm regular meeting was recessed into executive session a few hours after it commenced. The City Council held 8 executive session meetings in the first six months of 2018. The Council has not held an executive session since the 6/4/18 executive session at issue in this case. (#INV6)

CONCLUSIONS: Susan Wahlke was a member of the Lincoln City Council during the period relevant to this investigation and was a public official. The City of Lincoln City is a public body and the City Council is its governing body [ORS 192.610(3) and (4)]. As a member of the governing body of a public body, Susan Wahlke is required to comply with the executive session provisions of Oregon Public Meetings law found in ORS 192.660. Under ORS 192.685(1), complaints concerning violations of ORS 192.660 alleged to have been committed by public officials may be made to the Oregon Government Ethics Commission for review and investigation as provided by ORS 244.260.

An executive session is a meeting or part of a meeting held by a governing body which is closed to certain persons for deliberation on certain matters. [ORS 192.610(2)]

ORS 192.660 states that members of the media shall be allowed to attend executive sessions except under three circumstances: when labor negotiations are the topic; when matters concerning expulsion or medical records of a student are the topic under ORS 332.061(2); and when the topic is current or pending litigation and a member of the news

media is a party to the litigation or is an employee, agent or contractor of a news media organization that is a party to the litigation. [ORS 192.660(4) and (5)]

Based on the evidence, there is no indication that the Council was relying upon any of the three permitted exceptions to exclude the complainant from the executive session.

The Commission is prohibited by law from making a rule defining "representative of the news media," but it is imperative in order to enforce ORS 192.660(4), that the Commission make judgments on a case by case basis, guided by the application of the statute and AG's Opinion to the relevant factual circumstances.

Mr. Appicello, the City's in-house legal counsel, advised the members of the City Council that when the City's policy on media conflicts with the AG's opinion, the AG's opinion controls. Also, Ms. Coit, the attorney representing five of the City Councilors in this matter has also stated the same. There seems to have been some hedging on this point by both the City's attorney and Ms. Coit since their initial positions, with the argument that because Lincoln City is a home rule jurisdiction, they need not defer to the AG's opinion.

One difference between Resolution 2010-09 (City Policy) and the AG's opinion is the definition of "institutionalized" media. The City Policy defines "institutionalized" as "long-established or well-established", whereas the AG's Opinion states that "'[i]nstitutionalized news media' means an entity that is formally organized for the purpose of gathering and disseminating news."

Addressing the changes in delivery of the news between 1973 when ORS 192.660(4) was first adopted and today, the AG's Opinion's notes the following:

[W]hether an online publication or broadcast qualifies [as news media] depends on the same criterion for existing mediums: the entity must be institutional. "Blogs," for example, come in two general varieties. The first is "an online personal journal with reflections, comments and often hyperlinks, videos, and photos provided by the writer."***The second is a "regular feature appearing as a part of

an online publication that typically relates to a particular topic and consists of articles and personal commentary by one or more authors[.]" The first type of "blogger" is an individual rather than a representative of a news media organization. But the second type of blogger might qualify as a representative of the news media depending on whether the particular facts demonstrated that the blogger represented an institutional news medium. Indications that an entity is institutional might include its business structure, the nature of its overall operations. regular public dissemination of news, and similar factors that demonstrate that it is formally organized for the purpose of gathering and disseminating news.***[I]ndications that a blogger represents institutionalized media might include the existence of staff (rather than a single individual), a formal business structure within which the blog operates and regular publication. [AG Opinion p. 15-161

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Based on the evidence available, it appears that Lincolncityhomepage falls into the second category of "blog" and qualifies as "news media". It is formally organized for the purpose of gathering and disseminating news. The business was registered with the Secretary of State as an assumed business name by Justin Werner on 6/20/18, but it was formally organized and operational during the period relevant to this case, and for at least a few years prior. The publication regularly covers local government, entertainment, events, sports, and includes letters to the editors. The site has several advertisers, and at least two staff members. Anyone may access the site on the internet to see the 18 articles published during the first 5 months of 2018 concerning local government. The Oregon School Activities Association has recognized the entity as news media, has issued it a press pass and hosts the entity's news feed.

26 27

28

29

30

31

On 4/18/16, the Oregon Department of Justice published an Oregon Attorney General opinion (AG Opinion), #8291, specifically answering questions presented by the Commission concerning the Public Meetings Law requirement that representatives of the news media be allowed to attend executive sessions. The AG Opinion expressly states that there are no permissible grounds other than those identified in ORS 192.660(4) and

1	(5) for excluding representatives of the news media from attending executive sessions		
2	[AG Opinion, p. 3]		
3			
4	As pertinent to ORS 192.660(4) and a governing body enacting a policy that can exclude		
5	a representative of the news media, the AG Opinion states:		
6			
7	"Public bodies are required to comply with the statute. They cannot modify the		
8	statutory requirement by adopting a policy. In evaluating allegations that an		
9	individual was wrongly excluded from executive session, the commission must		
10	assess compliance with that statute regardless of a governing body's policies."		
11			
12	"If enforcement of the policy definition results in the exclusion of a representative		
13	of the news media, that exclusion would violate ORS 192.660(4).		
14			
15	To the extent that a credentialing requirement simply requires an individual to		
16	demonstrate that he or she is a representative of the news media, its enforcemen		
17	would be consistent with the law. But if a policy requires specific credentials, and		
18	an individual offering different credentials that are sufficient to demonstrate that he		
19	or she is a representative of the news media is excluded based on that policy, tha		
20	exclusion would not be consistent with ORS 192.660(4).		
21			
22	Advance notice of attendance is not required by the Oregon Public Meetings Law		
23	Excluding a representative of the news media for failure to comply with a policy		
24	requiring advance notice of attendance would violate ORS 192.660(4)." [AC		
25	Opinion, p. 4]		
26			
27	There seem to be several arguments made by the respondent's attorney as to why Mr		
28	Werner's exclusion from attending the 6/4/18 executive session does not constitute		
29	violation of ORS 192.660(4) by her clients.		
30			

<u>First</u>, the respondents argue that Mr. Werner did not comply with the requirements of Resolution 2010-09 (City Policy). He did not supply "substantial evidence" of why he should be recognized as a representative of the news media to the Councilors at least 30 days in advance of the first executive session he wished to attend. The City Policy states that upon such application, the City Council will make a determination within 21 days of receipt of this evidence. However, the City Council may

"forgo this procedure in cases where the City Council, in its sole discretion, determines that it can immediately recognize that an entity qualifies under this policy, or in cases where the City Council, in its sole discretion, determines that other good cause exists for making an expedited determination. A determination that the entity is not recognized shall be based upon written finding addressing the criteria in Section (2)(a)."

From the perspective of the Lincoln City Council, Mr. Werner did not make application to the City Council to be recognized as media prior to the 5/14/18 or 6/4/18 executive session. However, Mr. Werner's email to the City Recorder on 5/3/18 that he was going to attend the "next executive session" can easily be considered an "application" to be recognized as news media. Upon receipt of that email from Mr. Werner, which was forwarded to the City Attorney, no reply is sent to Mr. Werner until 5/10/18 and at that time, Mr. Werner was given a copy of the City Policy and the AG Opinion. However, he was still not given any specific form to submit or told how he would provide substantial evidence that his internet based publication should be recognized as media. It is obvious that his site was well known to City staff at that point, and any information needed to evaluate his publication was readily available by visiting his internet site. In fact, it is not clear exactly what would constitute an "application" other than informing the City staff that you wished to attend the next executive session. He was not given any help to aid him in how "exactly" he could go about being recognized as a representative of the news media, as he had initially asked in his 4/27/18 email to the City.

30 ///

- 1 However, even if there had been a formal application process or form, which there does
- 2 not appear to have been, when he first informed the City staff on 5/3/18, that he would be
- attending the next executive session, he would not have had time to be recognized by the
- 4 City Council before the 5/14/18 executive session. A week after his email stating that he
- 5 would be at the "next executive session", Mr. Werner was first provided with the City
- 6 Policy and the AG's Opinion by the City staff and informed that "an application is required
- 7 in advance for Council's consideration" which will be reviewed "at a regularly scheduled
- 8 City Council meeting." He was also informed that any "completed application" he submits
- 9 would not be considered until 6/11/18, which would be too late for him to attend the
- executive session on 6/4/18, which turned out to be the last executive session held by the
- 11 City Council that year.

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- On 4/27/18, Werner asked the City Recorder how "exactly" he would go about attending executive sessions.
- On 4/30/18 City Recorder forwards Werner's email to City Attorney.
- On 5/1/18 City Attorney sends City Recorder the AG's Opinion.
- On 5/2/18, the City Recorder tells Werner he must apply to the City Council, but does not supply him with the City Policy which outlines the criteria and timeline, rather she instructed him that "the Council's decision will based on the April 2016 Oregon Attorney General Opinion on the subject".
 - On 5/3/18, Werner responds that he will be attending the next executive session.
 [The "next" executive session occurred on 5/14/18, but it doesn't appear that the agenda showing an executive session was available at that time.]
 - On 5/7/18 Special Meeting of the City Council held.
 - On 5/10/18, the City Recorder responds that he must apply in advance by 6/4/18
 to get on the agenda for the next regular meeting of the Council on 6/11/18. She
 also supplies Mr. Werner, for the first time, with the City Policy and the AG's
 Opinion.
 - 5/14/18, the Council holds a regular meeting and an executive session. Werner apparently does not attempt to attend the executive session.
 - 5/28/18, regular meeting cancelled.

• 6/4/18, Werner attends the Council's Work Session and attempts to attend the following executive session, but is told to leave.

It is clear from the record that Mr. Werner was well known to numerous members of the City staff as a representative of his publication, including the City Manager, the City Recorder, and the City Attorney. The City staff supplied him with regular notices of events, council meetings, and press releases, which seems to undermine the statements alleged by complainant that the City Manager and City Recorder told him on 6/4/18 that he did not meet the criteria to be considered a representative of the news media. It also appears that he and his publication were known to some of the City Councilors. On 5/10/18, the City's attorney sent all of the current City Councilors copies of the AG's opinion as well as an analysis (in another matter) arguing that an individual was a blogger, and did not qualify as news media. Mr. Werner appeared in person and gave public testimony during at least two City Council meetings, identifying himself as a representative of his publication. Also, he had published numerous articles about the City Council, individual City Councilors, staff members, and local government in general.

The requirement that a representative of the news media submit "substantial evidence" to the City Council "30 days in advance of the first executive session that the entity desires to attend" is impractical, as one does not know until an agenda is published, usually a few days prior to a meeting, when an executive session is planned and for what purpose. The Lincoln City agendas are available approximately four days in advance of a meeting. This requirement would also result in the exclusion of persons who applied and were "news media" from executive sessions that took place before the next scheduled public meeting. And, because the City routinely held "stand alone" executive sessions prior to the next public meetings held later on the same date, this policy would foreclose any newly recognized member of the news media from attending that executive session.

The only two entities recognized as "news media" by Lincoln City are the News Guard and News Times. As applied to the facts in this case, although he had emailed the City Recorder on 5/3/18 that he would be attending the next executive session, Mr. Werner

was told on 5/10/18 that it was already too late for him to be recognized by the City Council in time to attend the 5/14/18 executive session or the 6/4/18 executive session. There is nothing in the City Policy that states the actual steps to be followed when one wishes to "apply" to the City Council, there is no specific form that was provided to Mr. Werner. There is nothing in the City Policy that states that the City Council's determination must be made in a "regular" meeting of the City Council, as opposed to a "work session" or a "special session" meeting. Reporters are not privy to when an executive session will be scheduled and the topic for the executive session until a few days prior when an agenda is made public, so it is important that any determination that needs to be made under the City Policy is done swiftly to ensure compliance with ORS 192.660(4). There is no requirement in the City Policy that the City Council must take a formal vote in a regular meeting to make the determination that an entity should or should not be recognized as news media. Thus, it appears that the City's Policy in this instance acted to frustrate the spirit and letter of ORS 192.660(4).

According to the AG Opinion, excluding a representative of the news media from attending an executive session for failure to comply with a policy requiring advance notice of attendance would violate ORS 192.660(4). Also, the AG Opinion states that if an entity "does not meet adopted screening criteria", and "enforcement of the policy definition results in the exclusion of a representative of the news media, that exclusion would violate ORS 192.660(4)".

<u>Second</u>, the respondents argue that the City Councilors did not exclude Mr. Werner, the City staff did.

Information indicates that the City staff did exclude Mr. Werner prior to the executive session. According to the City Policy, however, it is within the sole discretion of the City Council as to whether or not to allow a person who claims to be the media to attend an executive session. The respondents seems to be arguing that the Council did not exercise their sole discretion in this instance, but sat by and allowed the staff to exclude Mr. Werner on 6/4/18 from attending the executive session.

The Mayor, at one point, did suggest that Mr. Werner be allowed to attend and four others responded "No" to that suggestion. It is argued by respondents that this did not constitute official action on their part. It appears that Mr. Anderson went even further, stating that they should not hold the executive session at all if Mr. Werner was allowed to attend. Members of the governing body voiced opposition to the suggestion by one member that Werner be allowed to attend the executive session, they abdicated their sole discretion in allowing the City staff to exclude Mr. Werner, they declined to exercise their option to override the City Policy to immediately recognize Mr. Werner as a representative of the news media, and they all participated in the executive session once Mr. Werner had been excluded. Thus, it appears that the members of the governing body, either through their action or inaction, did exclude Mr. Werner from the executive session on 6/4/18.

Third, the respondents argue that the City Councilors could not and did not make a decision to exclude Mr. Werner from the executive session on 6/4/18 because they were advised by their attorney that they could not legally make a decision that day in either the public work session or in the executive session, or in the interim between the two sessions. The fact that they were advised by their attorney not to make a decision on Mr. Werner's attendance at the executive session on 6/4/18 prevents the assessment of a monetary penalty under ORS 244.350(2), which states that the commission may impose civil penalties up to \$1,000 for any violation of ORS 192.660, unless the violation occurred as a result of the governing body of the public body acting upon the advice of the public body's counsel. However, acting under the advice of counsel does not prohibit a finding of violation of ORS 192.660(4) and the imposition of a non-monetary sanction.

ORS 192.660(4) states that representatives of the news media shall be allowed to attend executive sessions held by the governing body of a public body, except in a few specific circumstances, none of which apply in this case. A public body is allowed to have a media policy, but if enforcement of that policy's definitions or requirement of advance notice of attendance would result in the exclusion of a representative of the news media from an executive session, then the exclusion is a violation of ORS 192.660. The City Policy permits the City Council, in their sole discretion, to override their policy and immediately

recognize a person as a representative of the news media. They chose not to do so, even though Mr. Werner had been corresponding with staff and the attorney about his

media status for months and information about his media status was readily available.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

necessary.

The City Council had options to ensure that they did not violate ORS 192.660(4). For example, it could have deferred the executive session until they could determine whether or not Mr. Werner's publication qualified as a news media. It appears that deferring the executive session was an option, as Councilor Anderson suggested not holding the executive session at all if Mr. Werner was allowed to attend. The Council could have considered Mr. Werner's email on 5/3/18 that he would attend the "next executive session" as an application and followed up immediately with the form with which to do that. As stated above, it is unclear exactly what an "application" would entail for an internet based operation, and considering that the City staff had access to lincolncityhomepage.com site, it does not seem that any more of an "application" was

16 17

18

19

In conclusion, there is a preponderance of evidence that a representative of the news media was excluded from attending an executive session held by the Lincoln City Council, in violation of ORS 192.660(4), and that Susan Wahlke was participating as a member of the governing body when the violation occurred.

21

22

20

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Commission should make a preliminary finding of 1 violation of ORS 192.660(4) by Susan Wahlke. [Motion 10]

24

25

23

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS:

- 26 #PR1 Complaint submitted by Justin Werner, received on 6/6/2018.
- 27 #PR2 Oregon Attorney General opinion #8291, published on 4/18/2016,
- 28 concerning media and executive sessions.
- 29 #PR3 Additional information via email from Justin Werner received on 6/20/2018.
- 30 #PR4 Response from attorney Andrea D. Coit, received on 6/15/2018.
- 31 ///

1	#INV1	Records received 10/22/18 from Lincoln City's custodian of records in
2		response to Commission subpoena.
3	#INV1a	Letter from Lincoln City attorney, Richard Appicello, in response to
4		Commission's subpoena.
5	#INV2	Letter from attorney Andrea D. Coit, received via email on 1/3/19, with
6		attachments.
7	#INV2a	Affidavit from Lincoln City Attorney Richard Appicello, received 1/3/19 with
8		attachments, via email attachment from attorney Coit.
9	#INV3	Records printed from https://www.lincolncity.org/, a website maintained by
10		the City of Lincoln City, obtained 1/9/19.
11	#INV4	Records from https://sos.oregon.gov/business/Pages/default.aspx,
12		a website maintained by the Oregon Secretary of State, obtained 1/10/19.
13	#INV5	Correspondence and records from Justin Werner.
14	#INV6	Lincoln City Ordinance No. 2018-04, Lincoln City Council Rules, and
15		meeting records obtained from website maintained by City of Lincoln City.
16		
	PREPARED	Diane Gould Date Investigator
	APPROVED	Ronald A. Bersin Executive Director
	REVIEWED	BY Any E. Alpaugh 1/16/19 Amy E. Alpaugh Date Assistant Attorney General